

# CONTENTS

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Abstract .....   | 1  |
| Introduction .....   | 2  |
| Description of the study area .....  | 4  |
| Previous investigations.....   | 5  |
| Scope of study.....  | 6  |
| Purpose and scope of report.....   | 7  |
| Acknowledgments .....  | 7  |
| Geohydrologic framework .....  | 7  |
| Upper Ash Creek drainage basin .....   | 9  |
| Basin-fill deposits .....  | 9  |
| Alluvial-fan deposits .....  | 9  |
| Pine Valley monzonite and other formations.....  | 10 |
| Navajo Sandstone and Kayenta Formation.....  | 10 |
| Hydrochemical characteristics .....  | 13 |
| Methods and limitations.....   | 13 |
| Chlorofluorocarbon collection methods .....  | 13 |
| Limitations of chlorofluorocarbon age dating .....   | 14 |
| Age-dating of ground water with chlorofluorocarbons.....   | 15 |
| Use of age-dating to investigate sources of recharge to the main part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers .....       | 17 |
| Comparison of apparent ages calculated from chlorofluorocarbon concentration to radioisotope age-dating methods..... | 20 |
| Use of other geochemical data to investigate sources of ground-water recharge .....                                  | 23 |
| Navajo and Kayenta aquifers.....   | 23 |
| General chemistry .....  | 25 |
| Oxygen and hydrogen isotopes.....  | 25 |
| Possible sources of Ash Creek and Toquerville Springs .....  | 29 |
| Ground-water hydrology .....   | 31 |
| Upper Ash Creek drainage basin ground-water flow system.....   | 31 |
| Aquifer system geometry and hydrologic boundaries.....   | 32 |
| Aquifer properties .....   | 34 |
| Recharge.....  | 35 |
| Precipitation .....  | 35 |
| Streams.....   | 36 |
| Perennial streams .....  | 38 |
| Ephemeral streams.....   | 39 |
| Irrigation .....   | 39 |
| Ground-water movement.....   | 40 |
| Discharge.....   | 40 |
| Wells .....  | 40 |
| Evapotranspiration .....   | 42 |
| Springs .....  | 42 |
| Ash, Sawyer, and Kanarra Creeks .....  | 43 |
| Subsurface flow to lower Ash Creek drainage .....  | 44 |
| Ground-water budget.....   | 44 |
| Navajo and Kayenta aquifer system .....  | 44 |
| Aquifer system geometry and hydrologic boundaries.....   | 45 |
| Aquifer properties .....   | 48 |

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Ground-water hydrology—Continued  |    |
| Navajo and Kayenta aquifer system—Continued                                     |    |
| Navajo aquifer.....   | 48 |
| Kayenta aquifer.....  | 50 |
| Recharge.....   | 51 |
| Precipitation.....  | 51 |
| Streams.....  | 52 |
| Perennial streams.....  | 52 |
| Ephemeral streams.....  | 55 |
| Method 1.....   | 56 |
| Method 2.....   | 61 |
| Overlying and underlying formations.....  | 65 |
| Irrigation.....   | 66 |
| Gunlock Reservoir.....  | 66 |
| Ground-water movement.....  | 67 |
| Discharge.....  | 67 |
| Wells.....  | 67 |
| Springs.....  | 70 |
| Streams.....  | 70 |
| Adjacent and underlying formations.....   | 70 |
| Evapotranspiration.....   | 71 |
| Ground-water budget.....  | 71 |
| Numerical simulation of ground-water flow.....                                  | 71 |
| Upper Ash Creek drainage basin ground-water system.....                         | 72 |
| Model characteristics and discretization.....                                   | 73 |
| Boundary conditions.....  | 73 |
| Recharge boundaries.....  | 73 |
| Precipitation.....  | 73 |
| Ephemeral streams.....  | 73 |
| Ash Creek.....  | 78 |
| Irrigation.....   | 78 |
| Discharge boundaries.....   | 78 |
| Evapotranspiration.....   | 78 |
| Wells.....  | 80 |
| Springs.....  | 80 |
| Ash and Kanarra Creeks.....   | 80 |
| Subsurface flow to lower Ash Creek drainage.....                                | 80 |
| No-Flow boundaries.....   | 80 |
| Ground-water divide.....  | 82 |
| Faults.....   | 82 |
| Underlying formations.....  | 82 |
| Divides.....  | 82 |
| Distribution of aquifer characteristics.....                                    | 82 |
| Vertical-head gradients.....  | 82 |
| Conceptual model and numerical simulations.....                                 | 86 |
| Model applicability.....  | 86 |
| Alternative conceptualizations.....   | 88 |
| Alternative 1—Flow across the Hurricane Fault.....                              | 88 |
| Alternative 2—No subsurface outflow to lower Ash Creek drainage.....            | 88 |
| Alternative 3—Increased transmissivity of the Pine Valley monzonite aquifer ..  | 88 |
| Alternative 4—Variation in anisotropy of the Pine Valley monzonite aquifer .... | 88 |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Numerical simulation of ground-water flow—Continued             |     |
| Upper Ash Creek drainage basin ground-water system—Continued    |     |
| Alternative conceptualizations—Continued                        |     |
| Model sensitivity.....  | 93  |
| Need for additional study.....                                  | 93  |
| Water-resource management.....                                  | 93  |
| Model limitations .....   | 95  |
| Navajo and Kayenta aquifer system .....                         | 95  |
| Main part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers.....               | 97  |
| Model Characteristics and Discretization .....                  | 97  |
| Boundary conditions .....                                       | 97  |
| Recharge boundaries.....  | 100 |
| Precipitation .....   | 100 |
| Streams.....  | 101 |
| Underlying formations .....                                     | 104 |
| Irrigation .....  | 104 |
| Discharge boundaries.....                                       | 104 |
| Wells .....   | 104 |
| Springs .....   | 104 |
| Virgin River.....   | 108 |
| Adjacent and underlying formations.....                         | 108 |
| No-flow boundaries.....   | 108 |
| Distribution of aquifer characteristics.....                    | 108 |
| Conceptual model and numerical simulation.....                  | 109 |
| Model applicability .....                                       | 109 |
| Alternative conceptualizations.....                             | 109 |
| Alternative 1—Effects of faulting.....                          | 113 |
| Alternative 2—Combined effects of faulting and anisotropy ..... | 113 |
| Model sensitivity.....  | 118 |
| Need for additional study.....                                  | 118 |
| Water-resource management.....                                  | 118 |
| Model limitations.....  | 120 |
| Gunlock part of the Navajo aquifer .....                        | 120 |
| Model characteristics and discretization.....                   | 120 |
| Boundary conditions .....                                       | 121 |
| Recharge boundaries.....  | 121 |
| Precipitation .....   | 121 |
| Santa Clara River .....   | 121 |
| Gunlock Reservoir .....   | 126 |
| Discharge boundaries.....                                       | 126 |
| Wells .....   | 126 |
| Santa Clara River .....   | 126 |
| No-flow boundaries.....   | 126 |
| Distribution of aquifer characteristics .....                   | 126 |
| Conceptual model and numerical simulation .....                 | 127 |
| Model applicability .....                                       | 127 |
| Alternative simulations .....                                   | 129 |
| Alternative 1—Seepage across the Gunlock Fault .....            | 129 |
| Alternative 2—Inflow from underlying formations.....            | 130 |
| Model sensitivity.....  | 130 |
| Need for additional study.....                                  | 134 |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Numerical simulation of ground-water flow—Continued |     |
| Navajo and Kayenta aquifer system—Continued         |     |
| Model applicability—Continued                       |     |
| Water-resource management.....                      | 135 |
| Model limitations .....                             | 135 |
| Summary .....                                       | 135 |
| References .....                                    | 137 |

**Appendices**

**A. Results of aquifer testing within the study area**

|                                      |      |
|--------------------------------------|------|
| Aquifer test analyses .....          | A-2  |
| Hurricane Bench aquifer test .....   | A-2  |
| Anderson Junction aquifer test ..... | A-5  |
| Theory .....                         | A-9  |
| Application .....                    | A-10 |
| Gunlock well field aquifer test..... | A-11 |
| Geology .....                        | A-13 |
| Hydrology.....                       | A-14 |
| Data reduction and analysis.....     | A-15 |
| Ground-water flow model.....         | A-16 |
| Model calibration .....              | A-18 |
| Summary .....                        | A-21 |
| Grapevine Pass aquifer test.....     | A-21 |
| New Harmony aquifer test.....        | A-21 |
| Hydrogeology .....                   | A-23 |
| Data reduction and analysis.....     | A-26 |
| Summary .....                        | A-29 |
| References .....                     | A-30 |

**B. Model Sensitivity Analyses**

|  |      |
|--|------|
| B1. Sensitivity analysis for model simulating the upper Ash Creek drainage basin aquifers..... | B1-1 |
| B2. Sensitivity analysis for model simulating the main Navajo and Kayenta aquifers.....        | B2-1 |
| B3. Sensitivity analysis for model simulating the Gunlock part of the Navajo aquifer.....      | B3-1 |

**Plates**

1. Map showing selected geologic units in the central Virgin River basin area, Washington and Iron Counties, Utah
2. Map showing potentiometric surface of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers in the central Virgin River basin area, Washington and Iron Counties, Utah

## Figures

|  |    |
|--|----|
| 1. Map showing location of the upper Ash Creek drainage basin and the Navajo Sandstone and Kayenta Formation outcrops within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah.....  | 3  |
| 2. Map showing average annual precipitation contours for the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah, 1961-90 .....  | 5  |
| 3. Graph showing monthly average precipitation at St. George and New Harmony, Utah, based on data from 1980 to 1989 .....  | 6  |
| 4. Generalized geologic cross sections of the Navajo Sandstone and surrounding formations within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah .....   | 11 |
| 5. Graph showing average CFC-11 and CFC-12 concentration in replicate samples collected with the glass-ampoule method and analyzed at the U.S. Geological Survey versus samples collected with the copper-tube method and analyzed at the University of Utah ..... | 12 |
| 6. Map showing location of CFC- and dissolved-gas sampling sites, central Virgin River basin study area, Utah.....   | 16 |
| 7. Graph showing global atmospheric concentration of CFC-12 as a function of time.....   | 17 |
| 8. Graph showing apparent ground-water recharge year determined from CFC-12 concentration for selected wells and springs in the main part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah.....                           | 22 |
| 9. Map showing areas of dissolved-solids concentration greater than 500 mg/L and temperatures greater than 20°C from wells and springs in the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah .....                             | 24 |
| 10. Diagram showing chemical composition of 73 water samples collected from the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah .....   | 26 |
| 11. Diagram showing relation of Navajo and Kayenta aquifer samples with high dissolved-solids concentration to the chemical composition of samples collected from overlying and underlying formations within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah ..... | 27 |
| 12. Graph showing stable-isotope ratios of hydrogen versus oxygen from ground water in the main part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah .....   | 28 |
| 13. Map showing location of general-chemistry sampling sites along the Ash Creek drainage, Utah....  | 30 |
| 14. Diagram showing chemical composition of ground water and surface water along the Ash Creek drainage, Utah.....   | 31 |
| 15. Generalized diagram showing sources of recharge to and discharge from the upper Ash Creek basin ground-water system, Utah.....   | 32 |
| 16. Schematic hydrogeologic section showing subsurface geometry from northwest to southeast near Kanarraville, Utah .....  | 33 |
| 17-20. Maps showing:   |    |
| 17. Location of streamflow-gaging sites for seepage investigations on Ash, Sawyer, Kanarra, and Taylor Creeks, upper Ash Creek drainage basin, Utah .....  | 37 |
| 18. Approximate potentiometric contours in the three aquifers of the upper Ash Creek drainage basin, Utah .....  | 41 |
| 19. Areas of phreatophyte growth in the upper Ash Creek drainage basin, Utah.....  | 43 |
| 20. Location of local and regional springs in the upper Ash Creek drainage basin, Utah.....  | 44 |
| 21. Generalized diagram showing sources of recharge to and discharge from the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah .....   | 46 |
| 22. Map showing potential sources of recharge to the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers in the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah .....  | 53 |
| 23. Diagram showing chemical composition of water from the Santa Clara River and the St. George municipal well field in the Gunlock part of the Navajo aquifer within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah .....  | 56 |

## Figures—Continued

|        |  |    |
|--------|--|----|
| 24.    | Map showing location of general-chemistry sampling sites and CFC-12 sampling sites in the Gunlock part of the Navajo aquifer, central Virgin River basin study area, Utah .....  | 57 |
| 25.    | Graph showing apparent ground-water recharge year determined from CFC-12 concentration at Santa Clara River sites and in water from wells in the Gunlock part of the Navajo aquifer within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah ..... | 58 |
| 26.    | Map showing approximate potentiometric surface in the Gunlock part of the Navajo aquifer within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah, February 1996 .....   | 59 |
| 27.    | Map showing drainage-basin areas for perennial and ephemeral streams that recharge the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers in the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah .....  | 60 |
| 28.    | Graph showing discharge of Leeds Creek and precipitation at St. George, Utah, from 1965 through 1997 .....   | 64 |
| 29.    | Map showing measured and estimated sources of discharge from the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers in the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah.....   | 68 |
| 30.    | Graph showing well discharge from St. George municipal wells in the main and Gunlock parts of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers from 1987 through 1996.....  | 69 |
| 31.    | Map showing water levels in three wells in the upper Ash Creek drainage basin, Utah, 1934-95 ....  | 74 |
| 32.    | Map and section showing (a) finite-difference model grid and (b) layering scheme for the ground-water flow model of the upper Ash Creek drainage basin, Utah .....   | 75 |
| 33-40. | Maps showing:  |    |
| 33.    | Boundary conditions and cell assignments for the ground-water flow model of the upper Ash Creek drainage basin, Utah .....   | 76 |
| 34.    | Areal distribution of recharge from infiltration of precipitation in the ground-water flow model of the upper Ash Creek drainage basin, Utah .....   | 77 |
| 35.    | Simulated recharge to and discharge from the aquifers by stream seepage in the ground-water flow model of the upper Ash Creek drainage basin, Utah .....   | 79 |
| 36.    | Location and magnitude of simulated well discharge in the ground-water flow model of the upper Ash Creek drainage basin, Utah .....  | 81 |
| 37.    | Final distribution of transmissivity simulated in the ground-water flow model of the upper Ash Creek drainage basin, Utah .....  | 83 |
| 38.    | Simulated potentiometric contours in (a) layer 1, (b) layer 2, and (c) layer 3 from the baseline simulation of the upper Ash Creek drainage basin, Utah .....  | 87 |
| 39.    | Potentiometric contours in (a) layer 1, (b) layer 2, and (c) layer 3 from alternative simulation depicting flow across the Hurricane Fault, the upper Ash Creek drainage basin, Utah.....  | 90 |
| 40.    | Simulated potentiometric contours in (a) layer 1, (b) layer 2, and (c) layer 3 from alternative simulation depicting no outflow from the basin near Ash Creek reservoir, upper Ash Creek drainage basin, Utah .....                              | 92 |
| 41.    | Diagrammatic bar chart showing relative sensitivity of the baseline model representing the upper Ash Creek drainage ground-water flow system to uncertainty in selected properties and flows .....   | 95 |
| 42-44. | Maps showing:  |    |
| 42.    | Water-level changes in the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers from 1974 to February/March 1996, 1997 in the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah .....   | 96 |
| 43.    | Model grid of the ground-water flow model of the main part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah .....   | 98 |
| 44.    | Altitude of the base level of layer 2, representing the base of the Kayenta Formation, in the ground-water flow model of the main part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah .....           | 99 |

**Figures—Continued**

|        |  |     |
|--------|--|-----|
| 45.    | Generalized cross section along column 20 of the ground-water flow model of the main part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah .....  | 100 |
| 46-57. | Maps showing:  |     |
| 46.    | Distribution of recharge from infiltration of precipitation simulated in the ground-water flow model of the main part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah.....                                       | 101 |
| 47.    | Distribution of recharge from streams and infiltration of unconsumed irrigation water simulated for layer 1 of the ground-water flow model of the main part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah..... | 102 |
| 48.    | Location of recharge from ephemeral streams and inflow from underlying formations simulated for layer 2 of the ground-water flow model of the main part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah.....     | 105 |
| 49.    | Discharge to wells and to the Virgin River from layer 1 of the ground-water flow model of the main part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah .....  | 106 |
| 50.    | Discharge to wells, springs, and subsurface outflow to adjacent and underlying formations from layer 2 of the ground-water flow model of the main part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers in the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah.....          | 107 |
| 51.    | Location of observation wells used for comparison of computed and measured water levels for the ground-water flow model of the main part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah .....                   | 111 |
| 52.    | Simulated potentiometric contours for (a) layer 1 and (b) layer 2 of the baseline main Navajo aquifer ground-water flow model.....   | 112 |
| 53.    | Location of model cells that simulate effects of faulting in the ground-water flow model of the main part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah.....   | 114 |
| 54.    | Simulated potentiometric contours for (a) layer 1, and (b) layer 2 of the alternative depicting effects of faulting, main Navajo aquifer ground-water flow model.....  | 115 |
| 55.    | Simulated potentiometric contours for (a) layer 1, and (b) layer 2 of the alternative depicting effects of faulting and north-south anisotropy of the main Navajo aquifer ground-water flow model.....   | 117 |
| 56.    | Simulated potentiometric contours for (a) layer 1, and (b) layer 2 of the alternative depicting effects of faulting and east-west anisotropy of the main Navajo aquifer ground-water flow model.....   | 119 |
| 57.    | Diagrammatic bar chart showing relative sensitivity of the baseline model representing the main part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers to uncertainty in selected properties and flows .....  | 120 |
| 58.    | Map showing model grid of the Gunlock part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah.....  | 122 |
| 59.    | Generalized cross section along column 45 of the ground-water flow model of the Gunlock part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah.....  | 123 |
| 60-64. | Maps showing:  |     |
| 60.    | Distribution of recharge from infiltration of precipitation and reservoir leakage simulated in the ground-water flow model of the Gunlock part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah.....              | 124 |
| 61.    | Distribution of streambed hydraulic conductivity that simulates seepage from the Santa Clara River in the ground-water flow model of the Gunlock part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah.....       | 125 |
| 62.    | Simulated potentiometric contours for (a) layer 1 and (b) layer 2 of the baseline simulation of the Gunlock ground-water flow model.....   | 129 |

**Figures—Continued**

|       |  |      |
|-------|--|------|
| 63.   | Simulated potentiometric contours for (a) layer 1 and (b) layer 2 of the alternative simulation depicting flow across the Gunlock fault, Gunlock ground-water flow model .....                           | 131  |
| 64.   | Simulated potentiometric contours for (a) layer 1 and (b) layer 2 of the alternative simulation depicting inflow from underlying formations, Gunlock ground-water flow model .....                       | 134  |
| 65.   | Diagrammatic bar chart showing relative sensitivity of the baseline model of the Gunlock part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers to uncertainty in selected properties and flows .....                   | 135  |
| A-1.  | Map showing location of aquifer-test sites within the central Virgin River basin study area, Washington County, Utah, 1996 .....   | A-2  |
| A-2.  | Map showing location of wells in the Hurricane Bench aquifer test, Washington County, Utah, January and February 1996 .....  | A-3  |
| A-3.  | Graph showing recovery data from wells during the Hurricane Bench aquifer test, Washington County, Utah, January and February 1996 (modified Hantush Solution) .....                                     | A-6  |
| A-4.  | Map showing location of wells in the Anderson Junction aquifer test, Washington County, Utah, March and April 1996 .....   | A-7  |
| A-5.  | Diagram showing well-location geometry needed for applying modified version of Papadopulos solution (1965) .....   | A-9  |
| A-6.  | Diagram showing idealized data set for an anisotropic and homogeneous aquifer .....  | A-10 |
| A-7.  | Graph showing recovery data from wells during the Anderson Junction aquifer test, Washington County, Utah, March and April 1996 .....  | A-10 |
| A-8.  | Graph showing recovery data for wells during the Anderson Junction aquifer test showing range of possible slope, Washington County, Utah, March and April 1996 .....                                     | A-11 |
| A-9.  | Map showing location of wells in the Gunlock aquifer test, Washington County, Utah, February 1996 .....  | A-12 |
| A-10. | Generalized geologic cross section in the vicinity of the pumped well in the Gunlock aquifer test, Washington County, Utah, February 1996 .....  | A-14 |
| A-11. | Graph showing recovery data from two observation wells during the Gunlock aquifer test, Washington County, Utah, February 1996 (Theis solution, 1935) .....  | A-16 |
| A-12. | Graph showing recovery data from wells during the Gunlock aquifer test, Washington County, Utah, February 1996 (Neuman solution, 1974) .....   | A-17 |
| A-13. | Graph of recovery data showing different late-time slopes for wells during the Gunlock aquifer test, Washington County, Utah, February 1996 .....  | A-18 |
| A-14. | Map showing (a) boundary, (b) finite-difference grid, and (c) detail of finite-difference grid for the ground-water flow model of the Gunlock aquifer test, Washington County, Utah, February 1996 ..... | A-19 |
| A-15. | Graph showing measured recovery and computed drawdown for wells during the Gunlock aquifer test, Washington County, Utah, February 1996 .....  | A-20 |
| A-16. | Map showing location of well in the Grapevine Pass aquifer test, Washington County, Utah, February 1996 .....  | A-22 |
| A-17. | Graph showing recovery data for the Grapevine Pass aquifer test using the Cooper-Jacob straight-line method, Washington County, Utah, February 1996 .....  | A-25 |
| A-18. | Map showing location of wells in the New Harmony aquifer test, Washington County, Utah, October and November 1996 .....  | A-25 |
| A-19. | Schematic cross section of selected wells and lithology of the New Harmony aquifer-test site, Washington County, Utah, October and November 1996 .....   | A-27 |
| A-20. | Graph showing drawdown data from the recorder well during the New Harmony aquifer test, Washington County, Utah, October and November 1996 (Unconfined Theis solution) .....                             | A-28 |
| A-21. | Graph showing drawdown data from the recorder well during the New Harmony aquifer test, Washington County, Utah, October and November 1996 (Jenkins and Prentice solution).....                          | A-29 |

**Figures—Continued**

- A-22. Diagram showing the conceptual model of a homogeneous aquifer bisected by a single fracture (Jenkins and Prentice, 1982)..... A-30

B1-16. Graphs showing:

- B1-1. Sensitivity of water level to variations in horizontal hydraulic conductivity of the basin-fill aquifer in the ground-water flow model of the upper Ash Creek drainage basin, Utah ..... B1-2
- B1-2. Sensitivity of water level to variations in horizontal hydraulic conductivity of the alluvial-fan aquifer in the ground-water flow model of the upper Ash Creek drainage basin, Utah..... B1-2
- B1-3. Sensitivity of water level to variations in horizontal hydraulic conductivity of the Pine Valley monzonite aquifer in the ground-water flow model of the upper Ash Creek drainage basin, Utah ..... B1-2
- B1-4. Sensitivity of discharge boundaries to variations in horizontal hydraulic conductivity of the basin-fill aquifer in the ground-water flow model of the upper Ash Creek drainage basin, Utah ..... B1-2
- B1-5. Sensitivity of discharge boundaries to variations in horizontal hydraulic conductivity of the alluvial-fan aquifer in the ground-water flow model of the upper Ash Creek drainage basin, Utah ..... B1-2
- B1-6. Sensitivity of discharge boundaries to variations in horizontal hydraulic conductivity of the Pine Valley monzonite aquifer in the ground-water flow model of the upper Ash Creek drainage basin, Utah..... B1-2
- B1-7. Sensitivity of water level to variations in vertical conductance between the basin-fill and alluvial-fan aquifers in the ground-water flow model of the upper Ash Creek drainage basin, Utah..... B1-3
- B1-8. Sensitivity of water level to variations in vertical conductance between the alluvial-fan and Pine Valley monzonite aquifers in the ground-water flow model of the upper Ash Creek drainage basin, Utah ..... B1-3
- B1-9. Sensitivity of discharge boundaries to variations in vertical conductance between the basin-fill and alluvial-fan aquifers in the ground-water flow model of the upper Ash Creek drainage basin, Utah..... B1-3
- B1-10. Sensitivity of discharge boundaries to variations in vertical conductance between the alluvial-fan and Pine Valley monzonite aquifers in the ground-water flow model of the upper Ash Creek drainage basin, Utah ..... B1-3
- B1-11. Sensitivity of water level to variations in streambed conductance in the ground-water flow model of the upper Ash Creek drainage basin, Utah..... B1-3
- B1-12. Sensitivity of discharge boundaries to variations in streambed conductance in the ground-water flow model of the upper Ash Creek drainage basin, Utah..... B1-3
- B1-13. Sensitivity of water level to variations in the depth at which evapotranspiration ceases in the ground-water flow model of the upper Ash Creek drainage basin, Utah..... B1-4
- B1-14. Sensitivity of discharge boundaries to variations in the depth at which evapotranspiration ceases in the ground-water flow model of the upper Ash Creek drainage basin, Utah ..... B1-4
- B1-15. Sensitivity of water level to variations in maximum evapotranspiration rate in the ground-water flow model of the upper Ash Creek drainage basin, Utah..... B1-4
- B1-16. Sensitivity of discharge boundaries to variations in the maximum evapotranspiration rate in the ground-water flow model of the upper Ash Creek drainage basin, Utah..... B1-4
- B2-1. Sensitivity of water level to variations in horizontal hydraulic conductivity of the Navajo aquifer in the ground-water flow model of the main part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah..... B2-2

**Figures—Continued**

|        |  |      |
|--------|--|------|
| B2-2.  | Sensitivity of simulated flux to variations in horizontal hydraulic conductivity of the Navajo aquifer in the ground-water flow model of the main part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah.....  | B2-2 |
| B2-3.  | Sensitivity of water level to variations in horizontal hydraulic conductivity of the Kayenta aquifer in the ground-water flow model of the main part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah.....  | B2-2 |
| B2-4.  | Sensitivity of simulated flux to variations in horizontal hydraulic conductivity of the Kayenta aquifer in the ground-water flow model of the main part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah.....   | B2-2 |
| B2-5.  | Sensitivity of water level to variations in vertical conductance between the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers in the ground-water flow model of the main part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah.....  | B2-2 |
| B2-6.  | Sensitivity of simulated flux to variations in vertical conductance between the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers in the ground-water flow model of the main part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah.....   | B2-2 |
| B2-7.  | Sensitivity of water level to variations in streambed conductance in the ground-water flow model of the main part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah.....   | B2-3 |
| B2-8.  | Sensitivity of simulated flux to variations in streambed conductance in the ground-water flow model of the main part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah.....  | B2-3 |
| B2-9.  | Sensitivity of water level to variations in general-head boundary conductance, representing inflow from underlying formations, in the ground-water flow model of the main part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah.....                        | B2-3 |
| B2-10. | Sensitivity of simulated flux to variations in general-head boundary conductance, representing inflow from underlying formations, in the ground-water flow model of the main part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah.....                     | B2-3 |
| B2-11. | Sensitivity of water level to variations in drain conductance, representing spring discharge, in the ground-water flow model of the main part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah.....   | B2-3 |
| B2-12. | Sensitivity of water-budget flux to variations in drain conductance, representing spring discharge, in the ground-water flow model of the main part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah.....   | B2-3 |
| B2-13. | Sensitivity of water level to variations in recharge from precipitation and unconsumed irrigation water in the ground-water flow model of the main part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah.....   | B2-4 |
| B2-14. | Sensitivity of simulated flux to variations in recharge from precipitation and unconsumed irrigation water in the ground-water flow model of the main part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah.....  | B2-4 |
| B3-1.  | Sensitivity of water level to variations in horizontal hydraulic conductivity of the Navajo aquifer in the ground-water flow model of the Gunlock part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah.....  | B3-2 |
| B3-2.  | Sensitivity of simulated flux to and from the Santa Clara River to variations in horizontal hydraulic conductivity of the Navajo Sandstone aquifer in the ground-water flow model of the Gunlock part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah..... | B3-2 |

**Figures—Continued**

|        |   |      |
|--------|---|------|
| B3-3.  | Sensitivity of water level to variations in the horizontal hydraulic conductivity of the Kayenta aquifer in the ground-water flow model of the Gunlock part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah .....                                     | B3-3 |
| B3-4.  | Sensitivity of simulated flux to and from the Santa Clara River to variations in horizontal hydraulic conductivity of the Kayenta aquifer in the ground-water flow model of the Gunlock part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah .....    | B3-3 |
| B3-5.  | Sensitivity of water level to variations in vertical conductance between the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers in the ground-water flow model of the Gunlock part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah.....                                      | B3-4 |
| B3-6.  | Sensitivity of simulated flux to and from the Santa Clara River to variations in vertical conductance between the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers in the ground-water flow model of the Gunlock part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah..... | B3-4 |
| B3-7.  | Sensitivity of water level to variations in streambed conductance in the ground-water flow model of the Gunlock part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah.....   | B3-5 |
| B3-8.  | Sensitivity of simulated flux to and from the Santa Clara River to variations in streambed conductance in the ground-water flow model of the Gunlock part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah.....  | B3-5 |
| B3-9.  | Sensitivity of water level to variations in anisotropy in the ground-water flow model of the Gunlock part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah.....  | B3-6 |
| B3-10. | Sensitivity of simulated flux to and from the Santa Clara River to variations in anisotropy in the ground-water flow model of the Gunlock part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah.....   | B3-6 |
| B3-11. | Sensitivity of water level to variations in recharge from precipitation in the ground-water flow model of the Gunlock part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah .....  | B3-6 |
| B3-12. | Sensitivity of simulated flux to and from the Santa Clara River to variations in recharge from precipitation in the ground-water flow model of the Gunlock part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah.....                                  | B3-7 |
| B3-13. | Sensitivity of water level to variations in recharge from the Gunlock Reservoir in the ground-water flow model of the Gunlock part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah.....   | B3-7 |
| B3-14. | Sensitivity of simulated flux to and from the Santa Clara River to variations in recharge from the Gunlock Reservoir in the ground-water flow model of the Gunlock part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah.....                          | B3-7 |

## Tables

|     |  |     |
|-----|--|-----|
| 1.  | Hydrostratigraphic section of selected water-bearing formations within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah .....   | 8   |
| 2.  | Hydrostratigraphic section of the upper Ash Creek drainage basin area, Utah .....  | 9   |
| 3.  | Chlorofluorocarbon concentration measured from samples from the central Virgin River basin collected by copper-tube versus glass-ampoule method .....  | 14  |
| 4.  | Average chlorofluorocarbon-12 concentration and estimated recharge year for selected springs, surface-water sites, and wells within the central Virgin River basin study area, Utah .....  | 18  |
| 5.  | Transmissivity of three aquifers in the upper Ash Creek drainage basin, Utah .....   | 34  |
| 6.  | Precipitation and recharge in subbasins of the upper Ash Creek drainage basin, Utah .....  | 36  |
| 7.  | Measurements of discharge, temperature, and specific conductance and analysis of seepage losses and gains at selected sites on Ash, Kanarra, and Sawyer Creeks, upper Ash Creek drainage basin, Utah.....  | 38  |
| 8.  | Miscellaneous discharge measurements at selected sites along Kanarra Creek and its tributaries, upper Ash Creek drainage basin, Utah.....  | 39  |
| 9.  | Estimated ground-water budget for the upper Ash Creek drainage basin, Utah .....   | 45  |
| 10. | Aquifer-test results from the Navajo aquifer, central Virgin River basin study area, Utah.....   | 49  |
| 11. | Seepage measurements and estimated recharge from perennial streams to the Navajo aquifer in the central Virgin River basin, Utah .....   | 54  |
| 12. | Drainage-basin parameters for perennial and ephemeral streams that recharge the Navajo aquifer in the central Virgin River basin, Utah.....  | 61  |
| 13. | Estimated annual recharge from ephemeral streams to the Navajo aquifer based on estimated annual stream discharge, central Virgin River basin, Utah .....  | 62  |
| 14. | Estimated recharge from ephemeral streams to the Navajo aquifer based on the City Creek infiltration experiment, February to March 1997, central Virgin River basin, Utah .....  | 63  |
| 15. | Estimated ground-water budget for the main part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers, central Virgin River basin, Utah.....  | 72  |
| 16. | Estimated ground-water budget for the Gunlock part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers central Virgin River basin, Utah .....   | 72  |
| 17. | (a) Conceptual and simulated ground-water budgets and (b) simulated versus measured water-level differences for the upper Ash Creek drainage basin ground-water system, Utah .....   | 86  |
| 18. | (a) Conceptual and simulated ground-water budgets and (b) simulated versus measured water-level differences for the baseline simulation and the simulation testing flow across the Hurricane Fault in the upper Ash Creek drainage basin ground-water system, Utah .....                 | 89  |
| 19. | (a) Conceptual and simulated ground-water budgets and (b) simulated versus measured water-level differences for the baseline simulation and the simulation of no subsurface outflow to the lower Ash Creek drainage basin, Utah .....  | 91  |
| 20. | (a) Conceptual and simulated ground-water budgets and (b) simulated versus measured water-level differences for the baseline simulation and the simulation testing anisotropy in the Pine Valley monzonite aquifer in the upper Ash Creek drainage basin ground-water system, Utah ..... | 94  |
| 21. | Measured, estimated, and simulated hydraulic-conductivity values for the main part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers, central Virgin River basin, Utah.....   | 109 |
| 22. | (a) Conceptual and simulated ground-water budgets and (b) simulated versus measured water-level differences for the main part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers, central Virgin River basin, Utah .....   | 110 |
| 23. | (a) Conceptual and simulated ground-water budgets and (b) simulated versus measured water-level differences for the baseline simulation and simulations testing faulting and anisotropy in the main part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers, central Virgin River basin, Utah .....      | 116 |

**Tables—Continued**

|      |   |      |
|------|---|------|
| 24.  | Hydraulic-conductivity values used in the baseline simulation of the Gunlock part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers, central Virgin River basin, Utah .....  | 127  |
| 25.  | (a) Conceptual and simulated ground-water budgets and (b) simulated versus measured water-level differences in the Gunlock part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers, central Virgin River basin, Utah .....  | 128  |
| 26.  | (a) Conceptual and simulated ground-water budgets and (b) simulated versus measured water-level differences for the baseline simulation and the simulation testing flow across the Gunlock Fault in the Gunlock part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers, central Virgin River basin, Utah .....     | 132  |
| 27.  | (a) Conceptual and simulated ground-water budgets and (b) simulated versus measured water-level differences for the baseline simulation and the simulation testing inflow from underlying formations in the Gunlock part of the Navajo and Kayenta aquifers, central Virgin River basin, Utah ..... | 133  |
| A-1  | Construction data for the wells used in the Hurricane Bench aquifer test, Washington County, Utah .....   | A-4  |
| A-2. | Construction data for the wells used in the Anderson Junction aquifer test, Washington County, Utah .....   | A-8  |
| A-3. | Construction data for wells used in the Gunlock aquifer test, Washington County, Utah, February 1996.....   | A-13 |
| A-4. | Construction data for wells observed during the New Harmony aquifer test, Washington County, Utah, October and November 1996 .....  | A-26 |